

EXHIBIT 28

SYMANTEC'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

You must consider each question of the Special Verdict Form and answer questions according to the instructions.

INFRINGEMENT

Direct Infringement by SYMANTEC

1. Do you find that SRI has shown by the more probable than not standard that Symantec directly infringes any of the claims-in-suit by making, using, or selling iForce IDS, ManHunt 3.0, Symantec Network Security 4.0, or the Symantec Network Security 7100 Series appliances (**the “ManHunt Products”**)?

YES (finding for SRI) NO (finding for Symantec)

2. If yes, which claims?

The '212 Patent

Claim 1 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 2 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 3 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 4 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 5 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 6 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 14 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 15 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 16 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 17 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

The ‘203 Patent

Claim 1 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 2 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 4 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 6 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 12 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 13 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 15 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 17 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

The '615 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 7	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 13	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 14	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 16	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)

3. Do you find that SRI has shown by the more probable than not standard that Symantec directly infringes any of the claims-in-suit by making, using, or selling **Symantec Gateway Security (“SGS”)** **5400** in combination with Incident Manager 3.0 or the Security Information Manager 9500 Series appliances (**the “Manager Products”**)?

YES (finding for SRI)

NO__ (finding for Symantec)

4. If yes, which claims?

The ‘212 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 3	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 5	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 6	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 14	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 15	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 16	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 17	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)

The ‘203 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 6	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 12	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 13	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 15	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 17	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)

The '615 Patent

Claim 1 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)
Claim 2 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 7	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 13	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 14	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 16	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)

5. Do you find that SRI has shown by the more probable than not standard that Symantec directly infringes any of the claims-in-suit by making, using, or selling **Symantec Gateway Security (“SGS”) 1600 or “SGS” 5600**, in combination with Incident Manager 3.0 or the Security Information Manager 9500 Series appliances (**the “Manager Products”**)?

YES (finding for SRI)

NO (finding for Symantec)

6. If yes, which claims?

The ‘203 Patent

Claim 1	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 2	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 6	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 12	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 13	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 15	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 17	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)

The ‘615 Patent

Claim 1	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 2	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 13	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 14	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)
Claim 16	YES <u> </u> (for SRI)	NO <u> </u> (for Symantec)

Inducing Infringement by SYMANTEC

7. Do you find that SRI has shown by the more probable than not standard that Symantec actively induced its customers to infringe any of the claims-in-suit by the customers' use of iForce IDS, ManHunt 3.0, Symantec Network Security 4.0, or the Symantec Network Security 7100 Series appliances (**the "ManHunt Products"**)?

YES (finding for SRI) NO (finding for Symantec)

8. If yes, which claims?

The ‘212 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 3	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 5	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 6	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 14	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 15	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 16	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 17	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)

The ‘203 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 6	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 12	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 13	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 15	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 17	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)

The '615 Patent

Claim 1 YES (for SRI) NO (for Symantec)

Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 7	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 13	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 14	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 16	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)

9. Do you find that SRI has shown by the more probable than not standard that Symantec actively induced its customers to infringe any of the claims-in-suit by the customers' use of **Symantec Gateway Security ("SGS") 5400** in combination with Incident Manager 3.0 or the Security Information Manager 9500 Series appliances (**the "Manager Products"**)?

YES (finding for SRI) NO (finding for Symantec)

10. If yes, which claims?

The '212 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 3	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 5	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 6	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 14	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 15	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 16	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 17	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)

The '203 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 6	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 12	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 13	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 15	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 17	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)

The '615 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
---------	--	--

Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 7	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 13	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 14	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)
Claim 16	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)

11. Do you find that SRI has shown by the more probable than not standard that Symantec actively induced its customers to infringe any of the claims-in-suit by the customers' use of **Symantec Gateway Security ("SGS") 1600 or "SGS" 5600**, in combination with Incident Manager 3.0 or the Security Information Manager 9500 Series appliances (**the "Manager Products"**)?

YES____ (finding for SRI)

NO____ (finding for Symantec)

12. If yes, which claims?

The '203 Patent

Claim 1	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 2	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 6	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 12	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 13	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 15	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 17	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)

The '615 Patent

Claim 1	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 2	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 4	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 13	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 14	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)
Claim 16	YES____ (for SRI)	NO____ (for Symantec)

INVALIDITY

Anticipation

1. Do you find that Symantec has proven by the highly probable standard that any of the claims-in-suit are invalid as anticipated by prior art?

YES (finding for Symantec)

NO (finding for SRI)

2. If YES, which claims?

The '338 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 11	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 18	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 19	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 24	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)

The '212 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 3	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 5	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)

The '203

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '615

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 7	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

Obviousness

3. Do you find that Symantec has proven by the highly probable standard that the subject matter of any of the claims-in-suit would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention?

YES____ (finding for Symantec)

NO____ (finding for SRI)

4. If YES, which claims?

The '338 Patent

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 11	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 18	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 19	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 24	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '212 Patent

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 3	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 5	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '203

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '615

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 7	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

Enablement

5. Do you find that Symantec has proven by the highly probable standard that any of the asserted claims are invalid for lack of enablement?

YES____ (finding for Symantec)

NO____ (finding for SRI)

6. If YES, which claims?

The '338 Patent

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 11	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 18	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 19	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 24	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '212 Patent

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 3	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 5	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '203

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '615

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 7	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

Written Description

7. Do you find that Symantec has proven by the highly probable standard that any of the claims-in-suit are invalid for lack of an adequate written description?

YES____ (finding for Symantec)

NO____ (finding for SRI)

8. If YES, which claims?

The '338 Patent

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 11	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 18	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 19	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 24	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '212 Patent

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 3	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 5	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '203

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '615

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 7	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

Best Mode

9. Do you find that Symantec has proven by the highly probable standard that any of the claims-in-suit are invalid because the named inventors failed to adequately disclose their best mode for practicing the claimed inventions?

YES (finding for Symantec)

NO__ (finding for SRI)

10. If YES, which claims?

The '338 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 11	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 18	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 19	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 24	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)

The ‘212 Patent

Claim 1	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 3	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 5	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES <input type="checkbox"/> (for Symantec)	NO <input type="checkbox"/> (for SRI)

The '203

Claim 1 YES (for Symantec) NO (for SRI)

Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '615

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 7	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

Definiteness

11. Do you find that Symantec has proven by the highly probable standard that any of the claims-in-suit are invalid because the language of the claims are indefinite?

YES____ (finding for Symantec)

NO____ (finding for SRI)

12. If YES, which claims?

The '338 Patent

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 11	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 18	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 19	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 24	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '212 Patent

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 3	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 5	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '203

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 6	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 12	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 15	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 17	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)

The '615

Claim 1	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 2	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 4	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 7	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 13	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 14	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)
Claim 16	YES____ (for Symantec)	NO____ (for SRI)